

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/511,081	10/12/2004	Roger Hanif Armour	9597		
F11 0 0 1	7590 12/26/2007		EXAMINER		
Fildes & Outland Suite 2			TRA, TUYEN Q		
20916 Mack A	venue Woods, MI 48236		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
Grosse remie	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		2873		
	•				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•			14			
C 1 + 0	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Supplemental	10/511,081	ARMOUR, ROGE	R HANIF			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tuyen Q. Tra	2873				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 J	ulv 2007					
·_ · · ·	s action is non-final.	•				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	<u></u>					
Disposition of Claims			•			
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1.2.5.7-10.12 and 13 is/are rejected. 7) ☑ Claim(s) 11 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica prity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal	Date. 10200.7				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/511,081 Page 2

Art Unit: 2873

DETAILED ACTION

Following up to applicant's interview on 10/29/2007, the supplemental Non-final rejection is as follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which the subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 7, 9 and 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over as being anticipated by Applicant Admit Prior Art (AAPA), in view of Thall (5,880,713 A).
- a) With respect to claim 1, AAPA discloses means defining a first light path (Figure 3, item a); reflective means (figure 3, item 3) arranged to divert light from the first light path (item a) along a second light path (figure 3, item b) extending through a first window and into a subject eye (figure 3, item 10); a second window through which an user can view a subject eye along a path (figure 3, item c) extending generally parallel to the second light path (item b); and a baffle (figure 3, item 6) substantially reduces ("substantially reduce" does not mean 100% prevent or block light exiting second window. Therefore, the light from light path still exits through the second window 5" as stated in of applicant's specification, paragraph [0033]), any light from the first light path (a) from exiting the ophthalmoscope through the second window (paragraph 0029-0034). However, AAPA does not implicitly disclose wherein the baffle (figure 3, item 6) arranged between the first light path (item a) and a second window. Within the same field of endeavor, Thall disclose a Retinal Diagnostic device in Figures 10 and 11 with teaching of a first

Application/Control Number: 10/511,081

Art Unit: 2873

light path from light source (item 16) to a reflector (24) and a second window to eye examiner (figure 10, item 56 or figure 11, item 80) wherein a baffle (item 70, as defined by applicant for reducing light to the second windows) arranged between the first light path and the second windows (56 of figure 10 or windows 80 of figure 11).

It would have been obvious, therefore, at the time the invention was made to a person having skill in the art to construct the acoustic imaging apparatus with acoustic lens system such as disclosed by AAPA, and with a baffle arranged between the first light path and the windows such as discloses by Thall, for purpose of limiting or reducing light passing to the eye examiner.

- b) With respect to claim 2, AAPA further discloses wherein the first light path (a) defining means comprises a tube (figure 3, item 2).
- c) With respect to claims 3 and 4, AAPA further discloses wherein outer surface tube is opaque and inside surface tube is non-reflective.
- d) With respect to claim 5, AAPA further discloses wherein reflective means comprises a mirror (item 3).
- e) With respect to claim 7, AAPA further discloses wherein said reflective means is inclined at approximately 45° to the first light path (item a).
- f) With respect to claims 9 and 10, AAPA further discloses wherein the first window is an aperture and wherein the second window is an aperture (figure 3, item 6).
- 3. Claims 1, 12 and 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over as being anticipated by Applicant Admit Prior Art (AAPA), in view of Kawai (UK Patent 2204144A).

Application/Control Number: 10/511,081

Art Unit: 2873

Page 4

a) With respect to claim 1, AAPA discloses means defining a first light path (Figure 3, item a); reflective means (figure 3, item 3) arranged to divert light from the first light path (item a) along a second light path (figure 3, item b) extending through a first window and into a subject eye (figure 3, item 10); a second window through which an user can view a subject eye along a path (figure 3, item c) extending generally parallel to the second light path (item b); and a baffle (figure 3, item 6) substantially reduces ("substantially reduce" does not mean 100% prevent or block light exiting second window. Therefore, the light from light path still exits through the second window 5" as stated in of applicant's specification, paragraph [0033]), any light from the first light path (a) from exiting the ophthalmoscope through the second window (paragraph 0029-0034). However, AAPA does not implicitly disclose wherein the baffle (figure 3, item 6) arranged between the first light path (item a) and a second window. Within the same field of endeavor, Kawai disclose a Ophthalmoscope for examination of cornea device in Figure 3 with teaching of a first light path from light source (item 1) to a reflector (item 5) and a second window to eye examiner (figure 3, item D) wherein a baffle (item 6, as defined by applicant for reducing or filtering light to the second windows) arranged between the first light path and the second windows (see figure 3 below).

It would have been obvious, therefore, at the time the invention was made to a person having skill in the art to construct the acoustic imaging apparatus with acoustic lens system such as disclosed by AAPA, and with a baffle arranged between the first light path and the windows such as discloses by Kawai, for purpose of limiting or reducing light passing to the eye examiner.

b) With respect to claim 11, AAPA discloses means defining a first light path (Figure 3, item a); reflective means (figure 3, item 3) arranged to divert light from the first light path (item

a) along a second light path (figure 3, item b) extending through a first window and into a subject eye (figure 3, item 10); a second window through which an user can view a subject eye along a path (figure 3, item c) extending generally parallel to the second light path (item b); and a baffle (figure 3, item 6) substantially reduces ("substantially reduce" does not mean 100% prevent or block light exiting second window. Therefore, the light from light path still exits through the second window 5" as stated in of applicant's specification, paragraph [0033]), any light from the first light path (a) from exiting the ophthalmoscope through the second window (paragraph 0029-0034). However, AAPA does not implicitly disclose wherein the baffle comprises a flange. Within the same field of endeavor, Kawai disclose a Ophthalmoscope for examination of cornea device in Figure 3 with teaching of baffle (6) comprising of flange (it wing extending downwardly as seen from figure).

Page 5

It would have been obvious, therefore, at the time the invention was made to a person having skill in the art to construct the acoustic imaging apparatus with acoustic lens system such as disclosed by AAPA, and with a baffle arranged between the first light path and the windows such as discloses by Kawai, for purpose of limiting or reducing light passing to the eye examiner.

With respect to claim 13, AAPA discloses means defining a first light path (Figure 3, c) item a); reflective means (figure 3, item 3) arranged to divert light from the first light path (item a) along a second light path (figure 3, item b) extending through a first window and into a subject eye (figure 3, item 10); a second window through which an user can view a subject eye along a path (figure 3, item c) extending generally parallel to the second light path (item b); and a baffle (figure 3, item 6) substantially reduces ("substantially reduce" does not mean 100% prevent or block light exiting second window. Therefore, the light from light path still exits through the

A A LL MARIE

Art Unit: 2873

second window 5" as stated in of applicant's specification, paragraph [0033]), any light from the first light path (a) from exiting the ophthalmoscope through the second window (paragraph 0029-0034). However, AAPA does not implicitly disclose wherein the baffle comprises a block. Within the same field of endeavor, Kawai disclose an ophthalmoscope for examination of cornea device in Figure 3 with teaching of baffle (6) comprising of block (the block of lens (6) and analyzer (8)).

It would have been obvious, therefore, at the time the invention was made to a person having skill in the art to construct the acoustic imaging apparatus with acoustic lens system such as disclosed by AAPA, and with a baffle arranged between the first light path and the windows such as discloses by Kawai, for purpose of limiting or reducing light passing to the eye examiner.

4. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant Admit Prior Art (AAPA) in view of Thall (5,880,713 A) as applied to claim 5 above, and further in view of Epitropoulos (US Patent 6,783,239 B2).

The teachings of AAPA in view of Thall are described with reference to claims 1 and 5 above. However, AAPA and Thall do not disclose wherein shape of the mirror is semicircular. Within the same field of endeavor, Epitropoulos discloses tester device with teaching of a reflector having semicircular shape (column 3, lines 29-30).

It would have been obvious, therefore, at the time the invention was made to a person having skill in the art to construct the ophthalmic device with the reflective means such as disclosed by AAPA and Thall, and with a semicircular reflective shape such as discloses by Lo, for purpose of reflecting.

Application/Control Number: 10/511,081 Page 7

Art Unit: 2873

5. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant Admit Prior Art (AAPA) in view of Thall (5,880,713 A) as applied to claim 1 above, in view of Lo (US Pub. 2004/0012759 A1).

The teachings of AAPA in view of Thall are described with reference to claims 1 above. However, AAPA does not disclose wherein the reflective means is a prism. Within the same field of endeavor, Lo discloses ophthalmic device with teaching of a reflective means is a prismatic shaped reflector (figure 12, item 16).

It would have been obvious, therefore, at the time the invention was made to a person having skill in the art to construct the ophthalmic device with the reflective means such as disclosed by AAPA and Thall, and with a prism reflective means such as discloses by Lo, for purpose of reflecting.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claim 11 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The reason for the indication of allowable subject matter is that (claim 11) wherein the reflective means is mounted on the baffle as disclosed in the claim is not found in the prior art.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuyen Q. Tra whose telephone number is 571-272-2343. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/511,081

Art Unit: 2873

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ricky L. Mack can be reached on 571-272-2333. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TT

November 6, 2007

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 8